

To: Angelo, Robert[angelo.bob@epa.gov]
From: Hoke, John
Sent: Fri 8/4/2017 7:07:02 PM
Subject: RE: Lakes potentially subject to state's draft NNC
ATT00001.txt

Bob,

It appears our server blocked the file you tried to send. If you are still interested in having us review, we can either set up an FTP site or if you zip the file it may come through the firewall. Either way, let me know how you'd like to proceed. In the meantime, perhaps the below and attached will be more useful to you.

I've attached the geodatabase that we used to determine which lakes and facilities would be impacted by the proposed NNC rule. The "Lake NNC GIS.zip" file contains two GIS shapefiles that are the Lake Ecoregions and Lake Watersheds used for the analysis. The lake watersheds should coincide with the latest version of the lake classifications and use designation file (MUDD lakes) found on MSDIS at the link below. Hopefully this will get you started.

ftp://msdis.missouri.edu/pub/Inland_Water_Resources/MO_2014_WQS_Lake_Classifications_and_Use_shp.zip

John Hoke

Chief, Watershed Protection Section

Water Protection Program

Missouri Department of Natural Resources

P: 573-526-1446, F: 573-526-6802

Promoting, Protecting and Enjoying our Natural Resources. Learn more at dnr.mo.gov.

From: Angelo, Robert [mailto:angelo.bob@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 04, 2017 11:39 AM
To: Hoke, John
Subject: Lakes potentially subject to state's draft NNC

Hi John. Per our phone conversation, Bruce was trying to determine how many waters in MO might be subject to lake NNC under the state's draft rule. Steve previously had come up with about 860 lakes, after excluding all waters <10 acres, located outside the BRF Ecoregion, and not already listed in Table M. Would you mind having one of your folks look over the attached file to see if Steve was on the right track? As always, thanks for your help. -- Bob